PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See Form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) (sheet 2) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION A 15587-I-PCT See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 08.04.2005 19.04.2004 PCT/EP2005/003694 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H05K7/20 Applicant RITTAL GMBH & CO. KG This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer

Telephone No

Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003694

Box	x No. I	Basis of this opinion					
1.		ard to the language, this opini		the basis of the in	aternational a	pplication in the la	nguage in which it was
	Ты	s opinion has been established	on the basis of a translatio	n from the origina	l language int	to the following la	nguage
		•	, which is the language	of a translation fu	rnished for th	ne purposes of inter	national search (under
	Rul	e 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	_				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claime invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. typ	e of material					
		a sequence listing	•				
		table(s) related to the seque	nce listing				
	b. for	nat of material					,
		in written format					
		in computer readable form			•		
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing					
		contained in the internation	al application as filed.				·
		filed together with the inter	•	puter readable for	m.		
		furnished subsequently to the		-			
				•			
3.	fur	addition, in the case that mornished, the required statement d or does not go beyond the ap	s that the information in the	e subsequent or ac	iditional copi		
4.	Addition	al comments:	,				
		•				•	
		•					
	•				•		
							,
				•			
	•	•					
						•	
						•	
					•	•	
					•		
	•						İ
					•		

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003694

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.	Statement						
	Novelty (N) Claims 3,5-8,10-16 Claims 1,2,4,9	YES NO					
	Inventive step (IS) Claims	YES NO					
	Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16	_ YES					
	Claims	- NO					
2.	Citations and explanations:						
	1						
	Reference is made to the following document:						
	D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 1996, no. 06, 28 June 1996 (1996-06-28) & JP 08 046381 A (MIYACHI TECHNOS CORP), 16 February 1996 (1996-02-16)						
	A machine translation of the document JP 08 046381 A (Miyachi Technos Corp), 16 February 1996 (1996-02-16) can be downloaded from the website http://dossierl.ipdl.ncipi.go.jp/AIPN/aipn_call_transl.ipdl?N0000=7413&N0120=01&N2001=2&N3001=H08-046381.						
	2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1						
	The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1), because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2). Document D1 discloses (the references between						

a mounting plate (heat dissipation plate 10) for electronic components (transistors GTR2,4), a fixing device for mounting electronic components

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

parentheses relate to said document):

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003694

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

being located on the plate body. The fixing device comprises a retaining piece (nuts M2, M4 and bolts N2, N4) having a fixing thread and an undercut groove extending in a straight line in the direction of extent of the mounting plate (slots GP), into which the at least one retaining piece can be inserted to secure the component (the nuts M2, M4 are pushed into the slots GP).

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-16

- 3.1. Dependent claims 2, 4, 9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty (PCT Article 33(2)), see document D1.
- 3.2. Dependent claims 3, 5-8, 10-16 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).
- 3.2.1. Dependent claim 3 concerns a minor structural modification of the fixing device according to claim 1 of the kind that a person skilled in the art routinely makes on the basis of familiar considerations, especially since the resulting advantages are readily foreseeable. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 3 also does not involve an inventive step.
- 3.2.2. The same respectively applies to claims 5-8 and 10-16.

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003694

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite D1 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VII) (January 2004)